

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with § 1.6(a)(4).

Dated: August 4, 2011

Electronic Signature for Gustavo A. Narvaez, Ph.D.: /Gustavo A. Narvaez/

Docket No.: 21087.0026U2
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Ge Wang et al.

Application No.: 10/791,140

Confirmation No.: 3175

Filed: March 2, 2004

Art Unit: 3737

For: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR
BIOLUMINESCENT COMPUTED
TOMOGRAPHIC RECONSTRUCTION

Examiner: P. Luong

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Mail Stop RCE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.56, submitted herewith on the accompanying Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement List is a listing of documents known to Applicants and/or their representatives. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(2), copies of any cited U.S. patent or U.S. patent application publication documents are not enclosed. But, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(2)(iv), and for the Examiner's review, Applicants' representative has enclosed a copy of any cited: (1) non-U.S. patent or patent application, (2) non-patent publication, and (3) documents from the prosecution of U.S. and non-U.S. patent applications.

Regarding category (3), documents from the prosecution of U.S. and non-U.S. patent applications, Applicants' representative notes that 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(b) does not mention, and thus does not require, that Applicant provide any particular set of identifying information. While 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(1) requires Applicant to provide a list of such documents, it does not require Applicant to include in that list specific identifying information. 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(2)(iv) further requires Applicant to provide a copy of such documents. Thus, the rules do not require that Applicant provide any particular set of information about the documents in category (3) prior to the Examiner's consideration of all the cited information. Nevertheless, in order to be as helpful as possible, Applicants' representative has provided (a) information regarding the

source of each document, which is the prosecution record of a U.S. or a non-U.S. patent application, and (b) a title or description of each document. The information provided mirrors the requirements for cited U.S. and non-U.S. patent applications as set forth by 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(b). The enclosed Form PTO/SB/08a (IDS List) lists these documents, provides identifying information, and includes a column for the Examiner's initials. In view of the total number of documents associated with the subject Information Disclosure Submission, Applicants' representative supplies such documents in two separate electronic submissions. A first submission includes the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) Transmittal, the Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement, Form PTO/SB/08b (Information Disclosure Statement List), fee schedule for the RCE, and Documents I through BG cited in Form PTO/SB/08b. A second submission includes Documents BH through BZ cited in Form PTO/SB/08b. Consideration of the cited documents and making the same of record in the prosecution of the above-referenced application (U.S. App. Serial No. 10/791,140) are respectfully requested.

Applicants' representative would like to bring to the attention of the Examiner the existence of the related U.S. patent application identified below, which was filed in the United States Patent and Trademark Office:

Application No.	Filing Date	Inventors	Examiner	Attorney Docket No.	Title
11/444,282	5/31/2006	Wang et al.	Selkin, S.	21087.0045U2	COMPUTATIONAL OPTICAL BIOPSY

Applicants' representative also bring to the attention of the Examiner the existence of the foreign patent application identified below:

Country	Application #	Filing Date	Applicant	Attorney Docket #
PCT	PCT /US2004/006360 (published as WO 2004/081865) on 9/23/2004	3/2/2004	Univ of Iowa Research Foundation	21087.0026P1

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b)(4), Applicants's representative believes that this submission is timely in that the Patent and Trademark Office has not yet mailed to Applicants a first office action on the merits after the

concomitant filing of a Request for Continued Examination pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.114. Therefore, Applicants' representative requests that the Examiner consider the cited documents and make the same of record in the prosecution of the instant patent application (U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/791,140).

In conjunction with this Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement and List, Applicants' representative encloses (1) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.114, and (2) a credit card payment submitted via EFS-Web. The amount of the credit card payment is \$405, which corresponds to the small entity fee pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e) for an RCE. It is believed such fee is correct; however, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the fees, asserted to be filed or which should have been filed herewith (or with any paper hereafter filed in the instant application by this firm) to our Deposit Account No. 14-0629, under Order No. 21087.0026U2.

Dated: August 4, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Gustavo A. Narvaez/
Gustavo A. Narvaez, Ph.D.
Registration No.: 65,929
BALLARD SPAHR LLP
Correspondence Customer Number: 23859